## Variation in gynecological oncology follow-up practice: attributable to cancer centers or to patient characteristics? A Piedmont Regional Oncology Network Study

Luca Fuso<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Evangelista<sup>2</sup>, Eva Pagano<sup>2</sup>, Elisa Piovano<sup>1</sup>, Stefania Perotto<sup>1</sup>, Simona Mazzola<sup>1</sup>, Emiliana Bertoldo<sup>3</sup>, Maria Rosa La Porta<sup>4</sup>, Claudia Rosmino<sup>5</sup>, Graziella Furbatto<sup>6</sup>, Sergio Abate<sup>7</sup>, Gianna Di Costanzo<sup>8</sup>, Gianfranco Trossarelli<sup>9</sup>, Maria Grazia Baù<sup>10</sup>, Flavio Carnino<sup>11</sup>, Giuseppina Gambaro<sup>12</sup>, Paola Piantanida<sup>13</sup>, Oscar Alabiso<sup>14</sup>, Luciano Galletto<sup>15</sup>, Laura Zavallone<sup>16</sup>, Annalisa Rossi<sup>17</sup>, Maggiorino Barbero<sup>18</sup>, Maria Tessa<sup>19</sup>, Dionyssios Katsaros<sup>20</sup>, Saverio Danese<sup>21</sup>, Paola Brignolo<sup>22</sup>, Gabriella Gorzegno<sup>23</sup>, Raffaella Grillo<sup>24</sup>, Giovanni Apolone<sup>25</sup>, Giovannino Ciccone<sup>2</sup>, and Paolo Zola<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the Piedmont Oncology Network, Italy

<sup>1</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics Academic Department, Azienda Ospedaliera Ordine Mauriziano, Turin; <sup>2</sup>Unit of Cancer Epidemiology, Azienda Ospedaliera US Giovanni Battista, CPO Piemonte, CeRMS, Turin; <sup>3</sup>Medical Oncology, Ospedale Civico di Chivasso, Chivasso; <sup>4</sup>Radiotherapy, Ospedale di Ivrea, Ivrea; <sup>5</sup>Radiotherapy, Ospedale San Giovanni Antica Sede, Turin; <sup>6</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics, Ospedale di Vercelli, Vercelli; <sup>7</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics, and <sup>8</sup>Medical Oncology, Azienda Ospedaliera Santa Croce e Carle, Cuneo; <sup>9</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics Academic Department, San Luigi Gonzaga, Orbassano; <sup>10</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics, Azienda Ospedaliera OIRM-Sant'Anna, Turin; <sup>11</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics, Ospedale Martini, Turin; <sup>12</sup>Radiotherapy, <sup>13</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics, and <sup>14</sup>Medical Oncology, Ospedale Maggiore della Carità, Novara; <sup>15</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics, Ospedale Civile Edoardo Agnelli, Pinerolo; <sup>16</sup>Medical Oncology, Ospedale degli Infermi, Biella; <sup>17</sup>Radiotherapy, Azienda Ospedaliera Ordine Mauriziano, Turin; <sup>18</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics, and <sup>19</sup>Radiotherapy, Ospedale Cardinal Massaia, Asti; <sup>20</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics Academic Department, Azienda Ospedaliera OIRM-Sant'Anna, Turin; <sup>21</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics, Azienda Ospedaliera OIRM-Sant'Anna, Turin; <sup>22</sup>Medical Oncology, Ospedale Gradenigo, Turin; <sup>23</sup>Medical Oncology, San Luigi Gonzaga, Orbassano; <sup>24</sup>Medical Oncology, Centro Oncologico ed Ematologico Subalpino, Azienda Ospedaliera San Giovanni Battista, Turin; <sup>25</sup>Oncology Department, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri, Milan, Italy

## ABSTRACT

Aims and background. Although guidelines recommend minimalist follow-up, there is wide variability in gynecological oncology practice. The aims of this study were to describe between-center differences in the follow-up of endometrial, ovarian, and uterine cervical cancer; to identify the determinants of test prescription; to estimate the related costs; and to assess the weight of center habits and patient characteristics as sources of unexplained variability.

**Methods and study design.** The medical records of patients treated between August 2004 and July 2005 for gynecological malignancies and followed up for the detection of recurrent disease were retrospectively collected from 29 centers of the Piedmont Oncology Network. Multivariate multilevel analyses were performed to study the determinants of test prescription and costs.

**Results**. Analyses were performed on 351 patients (median follow-up: 578 days). The unexplained variability in computed tomography prescriptions (26%), ultrasound prescriptions (17%), and total cost of follow-up (15%) can be attributed to center habits, independently of the clinical characteristics of the patients.

**Conclusions.** Much of the unexplained variability in the follow-up for gynecological malignancies is attributable to different habits of centers belonging to a cancer network. These results prompted us to design a multicenter randomized controlled trial to compare minimalist *versus* intensive follow-up programs in endometrial cancer.

*Key words:* appropriateness, evidence-based medicine, follow-up, gynecological cancer.

Acknowledgments: We kindly thank Fabio Lampis for data management, Laura Baruffaldi for data nursing, Dr Oscar Bertetto, Coordinator of Interregional and Inter-company Department of the Piedmont and Valle d'Aosta Oncology Network, for support in the project, and all members within the participating network for their collaboration.

*Funding:* This work was supported by the Piedmont Oncology Network (grant number 699/3566/10/2006).

Correspondence to: Luca Fuso, Gynecology and Obstetrics Department, AO Ordine Mauriziano, Largo Turati 62, Turin, Italy. Tel +39-349-3115039; fax +39-011-5082683; e-mail luca.fuso@fastwebnet.it

Received January 28, 2011; accepted March 24, 2011.